



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,530	12/14/2001	Katsumi Yamagishi	111419	3249	
25944	7590 05/09/2006		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			LAM, AN	LAM, ANDREW H	
P.O. BOX 199	928			· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2625		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/014,530	YAMAGISHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Andrew H. Lam	2625		

	Andrew H. Lam	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp 	oliance with 27 CEP 41 27 must be	filed within two month	e of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause		
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 		I E below);			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	-	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			, , , , , ,		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <i>1-30</i> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-50</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)			

Continuation of 13. Other: Regarding claims 1 and 16, applicant argues that Honda does not anticipate the applicant's claimed invention, i.e., does not disclose "storing print content data used in a case of printing on a first type of medium, generating print data to be printed on a second type of printing medium by using the stored print content data, wherein the second type of printing medium is one selected from the group and is different from the first type of printing medium" (Remark, page 2). The examiner respectfully disagree, citing in fig. 4, that the user can select "others b" or "business card 11" as the subject to be printed, when the user return the second time or when the user is using the system for the first time. Furthermore, Honda discloses in paragraph 97, fig. 4, shows that you can place an order for other material such as invitations, New Year greeting cards, calendars, posters, etc., by means of replacing partial data. As further stated in paragraph 97, the same manner is carried out in the ordering process, that is, if the user wants the same image data for the calendars, posters, etc., the user can use the same image data because the image data is temporarily stored (paragraphs 107 and 116).

andre 5/3/06 (AW Diams

KIMBERLY WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER